



# **Target Audience:**

Physicians, Dentist, Pharmacists, Nurses, Allied Health Professionals, others (researchers and sport scientists)

# **Activity code:**

HGI-03-P99

#### Venue:

Online via Microsoft Teams

### Time:

4:15 pm - 5:15 pm

# **Overall Learning Objectives:**

On completion of this activity, participants will be able to:

- 1. Critically appraise publications on academic writing
- 2. Apply academic writing knowledge and skills to their own writing
- 3. Discuss some of the principles of high-quality academic writing
- 4. Collaborate with peers on skillful academic writing

The HGI-03-P99 Aspetar Academic Writing Journal Club is an Accredited Group Learning Activity (Category 1) as defined by the Ministry of Public Health's Department of Healthcare Professions - Accreditation Section and is approved for a maximum 1 hours.



Each journal club will have 40 minutes dedicated to a presentation of the article and 20 minutes to an interactive discussion on the article.

Date	Topic	Session Learning Objectives By the end of this session participants will be able to:	Speaker
22/02/2023	How to respond to reviewers' comments: A practical guide for authors <u>link</u>	Write a response to reviewers that steers the editor's decision- making process     Use valid justifications to reject a reviewer's suggestion	Rod Whiteley



24/05/2023	Collaborative writing: Strategies and activities for writing productively together link	Recognise strategies to build productive writing relationships within your research team     Identify the 7 core principles of collaborative writing	Karim Chamari
27/09/2023	Words do matter: a systematic review on how different terminology for the same condition influences management preferences link	Describe how terminology impacts management decision making     Choose terminology to influence patient decision making	Jolanda Boersma
25/10/2023	How to make an academic poster link	Choose what information to include in their poster     Summarise their research into a concise and aesthetically pleasing format	Sofie Nelis
22/11/2023	Bringing narratives from physicians, patients and caregivers together: a scoping review of published research link	Explain how using narratives from physicians, patients, and caregivers can enhance patient-centred care     Describe the difference between thematical and comparative analysis of narratives	Paul Dijkstra
24/01/2024	Why Open-Ended Survey Questions Are Unlikely to Support Rigorous Qualitative Insights link	Describe the challenges of mixed-methods study designs     Apply strategies to treat free-text responses appropriately	Faten Smiley

**Overall time:**360 minutes

Lecture:
240 minutes

120 minutes



# **Scientific Planning Committee Members:**

Paul Dijkstra (Chairperson), Sofie Nelis (Co-chairperson), Faten Smiley, Dorothy Lechicki, Argyro Kotsifaki, Mohammed Alsaey, Nisrine Sawaya, Zainab Al Sarraf, Athol Thomson, Reem AlKuthairi.

The Scientific Planning Committee has reviewed all disclosed financial relationships of speakers, moderators, facilitators and/or authors in advance of the CPD activity and has implemented procedures to manage any potential or real conflicts of interest.

Orthopaedic & Sports Medicine Hospital www.aspetar.com

@Aspetar (F) (G) (In)







inspired by aspire®